

Path Integrals, asymptotic expansions and Zeta determinants

Matthias Ludewig

Universität Potsdam

February 11, 2016



The heat equation

Let $L = \nabla^* \nabla$ be a Laplace type operator, acting on sections of a vector bundle \mathcal{V} on a compact n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M .

The *heat or diffusion equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) + Lu(t, x) = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x)$$

has a unique solution for given initial data $u_0 \in L^2(M, \mathcal{V})$, and it is given by

$$u(t, x) = \int_M p_t^L(x, y) u(y) dy, \quad t > 0$$

where $p_t^L \in C^\infty(M \times M, \mathcal{V} \boxtimes \mathcal{V}^*)$ is the *heat kernel* of L .

The heat kernel as a path integral

By physicist's reasoning, the heat kernel can be written as the "sum over all histories", weighted with their probability.

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{\substack{\text{paths} \\ x \mapsto y}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4t} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(s)|^2 ds\right) [\gamma]_{0^1}^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

The heat kernel as a path integral

By physicist's reasoning, the heat kernel can be written as the "sum over all histories", weighted with their probability.

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{\substack{\text{paths} \\ x \mapsto y}} \exp\left(\underbrace{-\frac{1}{4t} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(s)|^2 ds}_{\text{Classical action}} \right) [\gamma]_{0^1}^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

The heat kernel as a path integral

By physicist's reasoning, the heat kernel can be written as the "sum over all histories", weighted with their probability.

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{\substack{\text{paths} \\ x \mapsto y}} \exp\left(- \underbrace{\frac{1}{4t} \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(s)|^2 ds}_{\text{Classical action}} \right) [\gamma \|_0^1]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

The slash in the integral sign denotes division by the normalization

$$Z = (4\pi t)^{N/2}, \quad N = \text{dimension of path space}$$

$\mathcal{D}\gamma$ denotes the Riemannian volume measure of the space of paths.

The heat kernel as a path integral

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{\substack{\text{paths} \\ x \mapsto y}} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma]_{0}^1 \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

The heat kernel as a path integral

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{\substack{\text{paths} \\ x \mapsto y}} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma]_0^1^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

Theorem

We have

$$p_t^L(x, y) = \int_{C_{xy}(M)} [\gamma]_0^1^{-1} d\mathbb{W}^{xy;t}(\gamma).$$

In the theorem, $[\gamma]_0^1^{-1}$ is the stochastic parallel transport in \mathcal{V} , $\mathbb{W}^{xy;t}$ is a conditional Wiener measure and

$$C_{xy;t}(M) = \{\gamma \in C([0, t], M) \mid \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(t) = y\}$$

The heat kernel as a path integral

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{\substack{\text{paths} \\ x \mapsto y}} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\|\gamma\|_0^1]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

Theorem (L. '15)

We have

$$p_t^L(x, y) = \lim_{|\tau| \rightarrow 0} \int_{H_{xy;\tau}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\|\gamma\|_0^1]^{-1} d^{\Sigma-H^1} \gamma$$

where the limit goes over any sequence of partitions $\tau = \{0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_N = 1\}$ of the interval $[0, 1]$, the mesh of which tends to zero.

In the theorem,

$$H_{xy;\tau}(M) = \{\gamma \in H_{xy}(M) \mid \gamma|_{[\tau_{j-1}, \tau_j]} \text{ is a geodesic } \forall j\}$$

The space of finite energy paths

An important path space is the space of finite energy paths

$$H_{xy}(M) := \{\gamma \in H^1([0, 1], M) \mid \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y\}.$$

This is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert manifold with the Riemannian metric

$$(X, Y)_{H^1} := \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s X, \nabla_s Y \rangle ds, \quad X, Y \in T_\gamma H_{xy}(M).$$

It is the "Cameron-Martin-manifold" corresponding to the Wiener measure.

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{H_{xy}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma \| \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{H_{xy}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma \| \mathbf{1}_0^1]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

- The heat kernel has an asymptotic expansion

$$p_t^L(x, y) \sim e_t(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \Phi_j(x, y), \quad e_t(x, y) = \frac{e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}}$$

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{H_{xy}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

- The heat kernel has an asymptotic expansion

$$p_t^L(x, y) \sim e_t(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \Phi_j(x, y), \quad e_t(x, y) = \frac{e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}}$$

- The path integral has a *formal* Laplace expansion.

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{H_{xy}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma \parallel_0^1]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

- The heat kernel has an asymptotic expansion

$$p_t^L(x, y) \sim e_t(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \Phi_j(x, y), \quad e_t(x, y) = \frac{e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}}$$

- The path integral has a *formal* Laplace expansion.

Goal

Compare the two asymptotic expansions.

In this talk: The lowest order term.

$$p_t^L(x, y) \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{H_{xy}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma \parallel_0^1]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma$$

- The heat kernel has an asymptotic expansion

$$p_t^L(x, y) \sim e_t(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \Phi_j(x, y), \quad e_t(x, y) = \frac{e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}}$$

- The path integral has a *formal* Laplace expansion.

Goal

Compare the two asymptotic expansions.

In this talk: The lowest order term.

Laplace's Expansion

Let Ω be a finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the unique non-degenerate minimum x_0 , then

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi(x)/2t} a(x) \, dx \sim e^{-\phi(x_0)/2t} \frac{a(x_0)}{\det(\nabla^2 \phi|_{x_0})^{1/2}}$$

Laplace's Expansion

Let Ω be a finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the unique non-degenerate minimum x_0 , then

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi(x)/2t} a(x) dx \sim e^{-\phi(x_0)/2t} \frac{a(x_0)}{\det(\nabla^2 \phi|_{x_0})^{1/2}}$$

Formal conclusion

If there is a unique shortest geodesic γ_{xy} connecting x to y , then *formally*

$$\int_{H_{xy}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma|_0^t]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma \sim e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t} \frac{[\gamma_{xy}|_0^1]^{-1}}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})^{1/2}}$$

since $E(\gamma_{xy}) = d(x, y)^2/2$.

A formal proof

Taylor expand $E(\gamma) = E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)$, where X is the vector field with $\exp_{\gamma_{xy}}(X) = \gamma$.

A formal proof

Taylor expand $E(\gamma) = E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)$, where X is the vector field with $\exp_{\gamma_{xy}}(X) = \gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \exp\left(-\frac{E(\gamma)}{2t}\right) \mathcal{D}\gamma \\ = \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t}\left(E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)\right)\right) \mathcal{D}X \end{aligned}$$

A formal proof

Taylor expand $E(\gamma) = E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)$, where X is the vector field with $\exp_{\gamma_{xy}}(X) = \gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \exp\left(-\frac{E(\gamma)}{2t}\right) \mathcal{D}\gamma \\ &= \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t}\left(E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)\right)\right) \mathcal{D}X \end{aligned}$$

substitute $X \mapsto t^{1/2}X$ gives

$$= e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(t^{1/2}|X|^3)\right) \mathcal{D}X$$

A formal proof

Taylor expand $E(\gamma) = E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)$, where X is the vector field with $\exp_{\gamma_{xy}}(X) = \gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \exp\left(-\frac{E(\gamma)}{2t}\right) \mathcal{D}\gamma \\ &= \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t}\left(E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)\right)\right) \mathcal{D}X \end{aligned}$$

substitute $X \mapsto t^{1/2}X$ gives

$$= e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(t^{1/2}|X|^3)\right) \mathcal{D}X$$

and in the limit $t \rightarrow 0$

$$\sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X]\right) \mathcal{D}X$$

A formal proof

Taylor expand $E(\gamma) = E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)$, where X is the vector field with $\exp_{\gamma_{xy}}(X) = \gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \exp\left(-\frac{E(\gamma)}{2t}\right) \mathcal{D}\gamma \\ &= \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t}\left(E(\gamma_{xy}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(|X|^3)\right)\right) \mathcal{D}X \end{aligned}$$

substitute $X \mapsto t^{1/2}X$ gives

$$= e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X] + O(t^{1/2}|X|^3)\right) \mathcal{D}X$$

and in the limit $t \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X]\right) \mathcal{D}X \\ & \sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Remark

At a geodesic γ , the Hessian of the energy is given by

$$\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma}[X, Y] = \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s X, \nabla_s Y \rangle ds + \int_0^1 \langle R(\dot{\gamma}(s), X(s))\dot{\gamma}(s), Y(s) \rangle ds$$

Remark

At a geodesic γ , the Hessian of the energy is given by

$$\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma}[X, Y] = \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s X, \nabla_s Y \rangle ds + \int_0^1 \langle \underbrace{R(\dot{\gamma}(s), X(s))\dot{\gamma}(s)}_{:=\mathcal{R}_{\gamma}(s)X(s)}, Y(s) \rangle ds$$

Remark

At a geodesic γ , the Hessian of the energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s X, \nabla_s Y \rangle ds + \int_0^1 \langle \underbrace{R(\dot{\gamma}(s), X(s))\dot{\gamma}(s)}_{:=\mathcal{R}_\gamma(s)X(s)}, Y(s) \rangle ds \\ &= (X, (-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_\gamma Y))_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

Remark

At a geodesic γ , the Hessian of the energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s X, \nabla_s Y \rangle ds + \int_0^1 \langle \underbrace{R(\dot{\gamma}(s), X(s))\dot{\gamma}(s)}_{:=\mathcal{R}_\gamma(s)X(s)}, Y(s) \rangle ds \\ &= (X, (-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting $P := -\nabla_s^2$, we have

$$\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] = (X, (P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{L^2}$$

Remark

At a geodesic γ , the Hessian of the energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s X, \nabla_s Y \rangle ds + \int_0^1 \langle \underbrace{R(\dot{\gamma}(s), X(s))\dot{\gamma}(s)}_{:=\mathcal{R}_\gamma(s)X(s)}, Y(s) \rangle ds \\ &= (X, (-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting $P := -\nabla_s^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] &= (X, (P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{L^2} \\ &= (\nabla_s^{-1}X, \nabla_s^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

Remark

At a geodesic γ , the Hessian of the energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s X, \nabla_s Y \rangle ds + \int_0^1 \langle \underbrace{R(\dot{\gamma}(s), X(s))\dot{\gamma}(s)}_{:=\mathcal{R}_\gamma(s)X(s)}, Y(s) \rangle ds \\ &= (X, (-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting $P := -\nabla_s^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] &= (X, (P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{L^2} \\ &= (\nabla_s^{-1}X, \nabla_s^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{H^1} \\ &= (X, P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

Remark

At a geodesic γ , the Hessian of the energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s X, \nabla_s Y \rangle ds + \int_0^1 \langle \underbrace{R(\dot{\gamma}(s), X(s))\dot{\gamma}(s)}_{:=\mathcal{R}_\gamma(s)X(s)}, Y(s) \rangle ds \\ &= (X, (-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting $P := -\nabla_s^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 E|_\gamma[X, Y] &= (X, (P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{L^2} \\ &= (\nabla_s^{-1}X, \nabla_s^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{H^1} \\ &= (X, P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)Y)_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\det(\nabla^2 E|_\gamma) = \det(P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)) = \det(\text{id} + \underbrace{P^{-1}\mathcal{R}_\gamma}_{\text{trace-class}}).$$

Theorem (L. '15)

For points $x, y \in M$ such that there is a unique minimal geodesic connecting x to y , we have

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim \frac{[\gamma_{xy}|_0^1]^{-1}}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})^{1/2}}$$

where $e_t(x, y)$ is the "Euclidean heat kernel".

Theorem (L. '15)

For points $x, y \in M$ such that there is a unique minimal geodesic connecting x to y , we have

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim \frac{[\gamma_{xy}|_0^1]^{-1}}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})^{1/2}}$$

where $e_t(x, y)$ is the "Euclidean heat kernel".

Corollary

The Jacobian of the exponential map is a Fredholm determinant,

$$\det(d \exp_x |_{\dot{\gamma}_{xy}(0)}) = \det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})$$

Theorem (L. '15)

For points $x, y \in M$ such that there is a unique minimal geodesic connecting x to y , we have

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim \frac{[\gamma_{xy} \|_0^1]^{-1}}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})^{1/2}}$$

where $e_t(x, y)$ is the "Euclidean heat kernel".

Corollary

The Jacobian of the exponential map is a Fredholm determinant,

$$\det(d \exp_x |_{\dot{\gamma}_{xy}(0)}) = \det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})$$

Proof of Corollary. It is well-known that

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim \frac{[\gamma_{xy} \|_0^1]^{-1}}{\det(d \exp_x |_{\dot{\gamma}_{xy}(0)})^{1/2}}.$$



This can be proved by finite-dimensional approximation, but one has to make precise error estimates for the approximation.

Theorem (L. '15)

We have

$$p_t^L(x, y) = \lim_{|\tau| \rightarrow 0} \int_{H_{xy; \tau}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} d^{\Sigma-H^1} \gamma$$

where the limit goes over any sequence of partitions $\tau = \{0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_N = 1\}$ of the interval $[0, 1]$, the mesh of which tends to zero.

In the physics literature, one reads

$$\int e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} \mathcal{D}\gamma \sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X]\right) \mathcal{D}X$$

In the physics literature, one reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} \mathcal{D}\gamma &\sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X]\right) \mathcal{D}X \\ &\sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} (X, (P + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_{xy}})X)_{L^2}\right) \mathcal{D}X \end{aligned}$$

In the physics literature, one reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} \mathcal{D}\gamma &\sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X]\right) \mathcal{D}X \\ &\sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} (X, (P + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_{xy}})X)_{L^2}\right) \mathcal{D}X \\ &\propto e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \det_{\zeta}(P + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_{xy}})^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where \det_{ζ} is the zeta-regularized determinant.

In the physics literature, one reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} \mathcal{D}\gamma &\sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}}[X, X]\right) \mathcal{D}X \\ &\sim e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} (X, (P + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_{xy}})X)_{L^2}\right) \mathcal{D}X \\ &\propto e^{-E(\gamma_{xy})/2t} \det_{\zeta}(P + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_{xy}})^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where \det_{ζ} is the zeta-regularized determinant.

From the english Wikipedia article on "Functional Determinants".

"This path integral is only well defined up to some divergent multiplicative constant. To give it a rigorous meaning, it must be divided by another functional determinant, thus effectively cancelling the problematic constants."

Zeta determinant

The zeta function of a *zeta-admissible* operator P is defined by

$$\zeta_P(z) = \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \lambda^{-z}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(z) \gg 0.$$

where the sum goes over its eigenvalues.

Zeta determinant

The zeta function of a *zeta-admissible* operator P is defined by

$$\zeta_P(z) = \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \lambda^{-z}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(z) \gg 0.$$

where the sum goes over its eigenvalues. We have in this domain

$$\zeta'_P(z) = - \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \log(\lambda) \lambda^{-z} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad e^{-\zeta'_P(z)} = \prod_{\lambda \neq 0} \lambda^{\lambda^{-z}}.$$

Zeta determinant

The zeta function of a *zeta-admissible* operator P is defined by

$$\zeta_P(z) = \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \lambda^{-z}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(z) \gg 0.$$

where the sum goes over its eigenvalues. We have in this domain

$$\zeta'_P(z) = - \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \log(\lambda) \lambda^{-z} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad e^{-\zeta'_P(z)} = \prod_{\lambda \neq 0} \lambda^{\lambda^{-z}}.$$

This motivates to set

$$\det_{\zeta}(P) := e^{-\zeta'_P(0)} \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} \prod_{\lambda \neq 0} \lambda,$$

defined by analytic continuation.

Zeta determinant and Fredholm determinants

Theorem (Scott '04)

Let P be a closed operator on a Hilbert space H and let $T = \text{id} + W$ with W trace-class. If P is a zeta-admissible, then so is PT and

$$\det_{\zeta}(PT) = \det_{\zeta}(P) \det(T).$$

Zeta determinant and Fredholm determinants

Theorem (Scott '04)

Let P be a closed operator on a Hilbert space H and let $T = \text{id} + W$ with W trace-class. If P is a zeta-admissible, then so is PT and

$$\det_{\zeta}(PT) = \det_{\zeta}(P) \det(T).$$

Corollary

With $P = -\nabla_s^2$, we have

$$\det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma}) = \det(P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma})) = \frac{\det_{\zeta}(P + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma})}{\det_{\zeta}(P)}.$$

Theorem

For $x, y \in M$ such that there is a unique minimizing geodesic γ_{xy} connecting x and y , we have

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim \det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})^{-1/2} = \frac{\det_{\zeta}(-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_{xy}})^{-1/2}}{\det_{\zeta}(-\nabla_s^2)^{-1/2}}$$

The degenerate case

Let Ω be a finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $\phi \geq \lambda$ and $C = \phi^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k , then

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi(x)/2t} a(x) dx \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_C \frac{e^{-\lambda/2t} a(x)}{\det(\nabla^2 \phi|_{N_x C})^{1/2}} dx$$

The degenerate case

Let Ω be a finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold. If $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $\phi \geq \lambda$ and $C = \phi^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k , then

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi(x)/2t} a(x) dx \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_C \frac{e^{-\lambda/2t} a(x)}{\det(\nabla^2 \phi|_{N_x C})^{1/2}} dx$$

Formal conclusion

If the set of minimal geodesics $\Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \subseteq H_{xy}(M)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k (with respect to the energy functional E), then *formally*

$$\int_{H_{xy}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} [\|\gamma\|_0^t]^{-1} \mathcal{D}\gamma \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} \frac{e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t} [\|\gamma\|_0^1]^{-1}}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_{\gamma} \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} d\gamma.$$

Theorem (L. '15, H^1 picture)

Suppose that $\Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \subseteq H_{xy}(M)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k , then

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{1}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} d^{H^1} \gamma.$$

Theorem (L. '15, H^1 picture)

Suppose that $\Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \subseteq H_{xy}(M)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k , then

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{1}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} d^{H^1} \gamma.$$

Theorem (L. '15, L^2 picture)

Suppose that $\Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \subseteq H_{xy}(M)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k , then

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{\det(\nabla_s^2)^{1/2}}{\det'_\zeta(-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)^{1/2}} d^{L^2} \gamma.$$

Theorem (L. '15, L^2 picture)

Suppose that $\Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \subseteq H_{xy}(M)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k , then

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]^{-1} \frac{\det_{\zeta}(-\nabla_s^2)^{1/2}}{\det'_{\zeta}(-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma})^{1/2}} d^{L^2} \gamma.$$

Theorem (L. '15, L^2 picture)

Suppose that $\Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \subseteq H_{xy}(M)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k , then

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{\det_\zeta(-\nabla_s^2)^{1/2}}{\det'_\zeta(-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)^{1/2}} d^{L^2} \gamma.$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{1}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} d^{H^1} \gamma$$

Theorem (L. '15, L^2 picture)

Suppose that $\Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \subseteq H_{xy}(M)$ is a non-degenerate submanifold of dimension k , then

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{\det_{\zeta}(-\nabla_s^2)^{1/2}}{\det'_{\zeta}(-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma})^{1/2}} d^{L^2} \gamma.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} &\sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{1}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_{\gamma} \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} d^{H^1} \gamma \\ &\sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{\det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)])}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_{\gamma} \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} d^{L^2} \gamma \end{aligned}$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_k be an L^2 -orthonormal basis of Γ_{xy}^{\min} . Then we have, setting again $P := -\nabla_s^2$

$$\det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)])$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_k be an L^2 -orthonormal basis of Γ_{xy}^{\min} . Then we have, setting again $P := -\nabla_s^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)]) \\ = \det\left(\left(e_i, e_j\right)_{H^1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_k be an L^2 -orthonormal basis of Γ_{xy}^{\min} . Then we have, setting again $P := -\nabla_s^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)]) \\ &= \det\left(\left(e_i, e_j\right)_{H^1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \\ &= \det\left(\left(\nabla_s e_i, \nabla_s e_j\right)_{L^2}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_k be an L^2 -orthonormal basis of Γ_{xy}^{\min} . Then we have, setting again $P := -\nabla_s^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)]) \\ &= \det\left(\left(e_i, e_j\right)_{H^1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \\ &= \det\left(\left(\nabla_s e_i, \nabla_s e_j\right)_{L^2}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \\ &= \det\left(\left(e_i, P e_j\right)_{L^2}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_k be an L^2 -orthonormal basis of Γ_{xy}^{\min} . Then we have, setting again $P := -\nabla_s^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)]) \\ &= \det\left(\left(e_i, e_j\right)_{H^1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \\ &= \det\left(\left(\nabla_s e_i, \nabla_s e_j\right)_{L^2}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \\ &= \det\left(\left(e_i, P e_j\right)_{L^2}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_k be an L^2 -orthonormal basis of Γ_{xy}^{\min} . Then we have, setting again $P := -\nabla_s^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)]) \\
 &= \det\left(\left(e_i, e_j\right)_{H^1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \\
 &= \det\left(\left(\nabla_s e_i, \nabla_s e_j\right)_{L^2}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \\
 &= \det\left(\left(e_i, P e_j\right)_{L^2}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}^{1/2} \\
 &= \det(P|_{T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence in the splitting $T_\gamma H_{xy}(M) = T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \oplus N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}$

$$\frac{\det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)])}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} = \frac{\det(P|_{T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}}{\det(P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}}$$

Hence in the splitting $T_\gamma H_{xy}(M) = T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \oplus N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)])}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} &= \frac{\det(P|_{T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}}{\det(P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1}|_{T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} & 0 \\ 0 & P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence in the splitting $T_\gamma H_{xy}(M) = T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \oplus N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)])}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} &= \frac{\det(P|_{T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}}{\det(P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1}|_{T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} & 0 \\ 0 & P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1/2} \\ &= \det \left(P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence in the splitting $T_\gamma H_{xy}(M) = T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min} \oplus N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)])}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} &= \frac{\det(P|_{T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}}{\det(P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} \\
 &= \det \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1}|_{T_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} & 0 \\ 0 & P^{-1}(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1/2} \\
 &= \det \left(P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1/2} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\det'_\zeta(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)}{\det_\zeta(P)} \right)^{-1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \\ & \sim (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{\det(d[\text{id} : (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, L^2) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}, H^1)])}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{N_\gamma \Gamma_{xy}^{\min}})^{1/2}} d^{L^2} \gamma \\ & = (4\pi t)^{-k/2} \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{\min}} [\gamma \| \cdot \|_0^1]^{-1} \frac{\det_\zeta(P)^{1/2}}{\det'_\zeta(P + \mathcal{R}_\gamma)^{1/2}} d^{L^2} \gamma \end{aligned}$$

□

Wrapup

Wrapup

For the quotient of one-dimensional path integrals, there is an " H^1 -picture", and an " L^2 -picture".

Wrapup

For the quotient of one-dimensional path integrals, there is an " H^1 -picture", and an " L^2 -picture".

For x close to y , we have

$$\frac{p_t^L(x, y)}{e_t(x, y)} \stackrel{\text{formally}}{=} \frac{\int_{H_{xy}(M)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} \mathcal{D}\gamma}{\int_{H_{xy}(\mathbb{R}^n)} e^{-E(\gamma)/2t} \mathcal{D}\gamma} \sim \frac{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}})^{-1/2}}{\det(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma_{xy}^{\mathbb{R}^n}})^{-1/2}}$$

or

$$\sim \frac{\det(-\nabla_s^2 + \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_{xy}})^{-1/2}}{\det_{\zeta}(-\nabla_s^2)}$$

Similar results hold in the degenerate case.

Thank you for your attention!